Moir & Hardman

KENNETH E. HARDMAN

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
1828 L STREET, N.W., SUITE 901
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-5104
FACSIMILE: 202-833-2416
kenhardman@attglobal.net

DIRECT DIAL: (202) 223-3772

June 16, 1999

NOTICE OF EX PARTE PRESENTATION

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 - 12th Street, S.W., TW-A325 Washington, DC 20554

Re: Wireless Consumers Alliance, Inc. Petition for Declaratory Ruling

File No. WT 99-263

Dear Ms. Salas:

Transmitted electronically herewith for filing is a memorandum describing the *ex parte* meeting on June 15, 2000, between representatives of the Wireless Consumers Alliance, Inc. and the Chairman's staff.

Very truly yours,

s/ Kenneth E. Hardman

Kenneth E. Hardman

Enclosure

Moir & Hardman

KENNETH E. HARDMAN

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
1828 L STREET, N.W., SUITE 901
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-5104
FACSIMILE: 202-833-2416
kenhardman@attglobal.net

DIRECT DIAL: (202) 223-3772

EX PARTE MEMORANDUM

<u>MEMORANDUM</u>

To: Ms. Magalie Roman Salas, Secretary

Federal Communications Commission

From: Kenneth E. Hardman

Date: June 16, 2000

Re: Petition of the Wireless Consumers Alliance, Inc.

File No. WT 99-263

Carl Hilliard, Patrick Daniels, Ronald Hoffman, Jonathan Linkous and Kenneth Hardman, representing the Wireless Consumers Alliance, Inc., met on June 16, 2000, with Clint Odom, Legal Assistant to Chairman William E. Kennard, to discuss the status of the petition for declaratory ruling and to review recent court decisions bearing on the issues raised in the petition. The Alliance representatives reviewed the history of the petition and court proceeding which was the catalyst for the petition and expressed the view that the recent decision in *Ball v. GTE Mobilnet of California*, 00 C.D.O.S. 4523, issued June 8, 2000 (California Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District), appropriately distinguished between claims preempted by Section 332 of the Communications Act and claims that are not preempted and may be validly raised in state consumer protection litigation. The representatives futher urged the Commission to establish a bright line ruling on the petition so as to provide as much guidance to the courts as possible.